Case: 1:09-cr-00383 Document #: 137 Filed: 11/10/11 Page 1 of 63 PageID #:807

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
	)	
V.	)	
	)	
JESUS VICENTE ZAMBADA-NIEBLA,	)	09 CR 383
a/k/a "Vicente Zambada-Niebla,"	)	
a/k/a "Vicente Zambada,"	)	Judge Ruben Castillo
a/k/a "Mayito,"	)	
a/k/a "30," and	)	
TOMAS AREVALO-RENTERIA	)	

GOVERNMENT'S EVIDENTIARY PROFFER SUPPORTING THE ADMISSIBILITY OF CO-CONSPIRATOR STATEMENTS

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE CONSPIRACY	2
III.	GOVERNING LAW	3
	A. The Evidentiary Rule is Distinct from the Charged Conspiracy B. Existence of and Membership in the Conspiracy C. The "In Furtherance" Requirement D. Alternative Bases for Admissibility of Statements	5
IV.	THE GOVERNMENT'S PROFFER REGARDING THE EXISTENCE OF THE CONSPIRACY AND DEFENDANTS' PARTICIPATION IN IT	12
	A. The SINALOA CARTEL  B. Structure and Differentiation of Roles	
	<ol> <li>Jesus Vicente Zambada-Niebla</li> <li>Alfredo Guzman-Salazar</li> <li>Alfredo Vasquez-Hernandez</li> <li>Juan Guzman-Rocha</li> <li>German Olivares</li> <li>Felipe LNU</li> <li>Manuel Fernandez-Navaroo</li> <li>Tomas Arevalo-Renteria</li> <li>Pedro Flores and Margarito Flores</li> </ol>	15 16 16 16 17
	C. History of the Investigation	
V.	STATEMENT OF FACTS/EVIDENTIARY SUPPORT OF THE CHARGED CONSPIRACIES	57
VI.	CONCLUSION	60

The UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by its attorney, PATRICK J. FITZGERALD, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, respectfully submits this written proffer, pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)(E), and *United States v. Santiago*, 582 F.2d 1128 (7th Cir. 1987), of the government's evidence supporting the admission of certain co-conspirator statements against defendants at trial.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This submission begins by providing an overview of the conspiracy in this case. It then discusses the law governing the admissibility of coconspirator statements under Fed.R.Evid. 801(d)(2)(D), and outlines some of its evidence establishing the conspiracy. Finally, it summarizes evidence supporting the admission of coconspirators' statements pursuant to Rule 801(d)(2) and for which a pre-trial ruling by the Court is requested, in accord with *United States v. Santiago*, 582 F.2d 1128, 1130-31 (7th Cir. 1978), and established practice in this Circuit. *See United States v. Alviar*, 573 F.3d 526, 540 (7th Cir. 2009); *United States v. Harris*, 585 F.3d 394, 398, 400 (7th Cir. 2009).

This proffer does not list all of the government's witnesses and the evidence each will present, nor does it provide all of the evidence that will be presented by those witnesses who are named. Rather, the proffer is a summary only, offered for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conspiracy by a preponderance of the evidence and providing defendants with adequate notice of the nature of the conspiratorial evidence, including the nature of co-conspirator statements made in furtherance of the conspiracy that the government will offer at trial. By presenting statements attributed to particular witnesses, the government is not committing to call each of the witnesses, nor is the government committing to introduce each statement contained herein. The government expressly reserves the right to offer additional statements of indicted and

unindicted co-conspirators. The government further reserves the right to supplement this proffer in the event that additional evidence of the conspiracy comes to light in advance of trial.

#### II. OVERVIEW OF THE CONSPIRACY

The second superseding indictment charges that from about May 2005 to about December 2008, defendants Jesus Vicente Zambada-Niebla and Tomas Arevalo-Renteria conspired with other members of the Sinaloa Cartel to possess with intent to distribute and to distribute five kilograms or more of cocaine and one kilogram or more of heroin (Count One), and conspired to import into the United States from Mexico more than five kilograms of cocaine and more than one kilogram of heroin (Count Two); Arevalo-Renteria is further charged with knowingly and intentionally distributing heroin (Counts Three and Six).

The indictment alleges that Zambada-Niebla was a high-level member of the Sinaloa Cartel, a cocaine and heroin drug trafficking organization based in Mexico. R. 75 at 2, 5. The indictment further alleges that, among other things, Zambada-Niebla acted as a logistical coordinator for the Sinaloa Cartel, which was headed by Zambada-Niebla's father and co-defendant, Ismael Zambada-Garcia, and Joaquin Guzman-Loera. *Id.* The Zambada-Garcia faction was involved in importing multi-ton quantities of cocaine and multi-kilogram quantities of heroin, and Zambada-Niebla specifically coordinated deliveries of multi-kilogram quantities of cocaine and heroin into the United States, as well as deliveries of bulk quantities of United States currency to his father and the Zambada-Garcia faction in Mexico. *Id.* at 3-4, 5-6. In addition, the indictment alleges that Zambada-Niebla and other cartel leaders engaged in acts of violence to further their narcotics trafficking activities and threatened to do so in retaliation for the Mexican and American governments' enforcement of their narcotics laws. *Id.* at 16-17.

The indictment alleges that Arevalo-Renteria (i) worked with the Sinaloa Cartel; (ii) acted as a narcotics broker and customer for factions of the cartel headed by Guzman-Loera and Zambada-Garcia; and (iii) coordinated deliveries of multi-kilogram quantities of cocaine and heroin into the United States and deliveries of United States currency back into Mexico to the Sinaloa Cartel. *Id.* at 8.

The scope of the conspiracy thus includes both the Guzman-Loera and Zambada-Garcia factions of the Sinaloa Cartel, and includes the supply of drugs to the cartel by international suppliers, the coordination of large shipments over and throughout international borders, the transportation and distribution of the drugs, the financial transactions related to the shipments, and the efforts to protect the cartel's interests in international drug trafficking through violent and other means. The members of the conspiracy, and the declarants of co-conspirator statements, are identified below.

#### III. GOVERNING LAW

Rule 801(d)(2)(E) provides that a "statement" is not hearsay if it "is offered against a party" and is "a statement by a coconspirator of a party during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy." Admission of such coconspirator statements against a defendant is proper where the government establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that: (1) a conspiracy [or joint venture] existed; (2) defendant and the declarant were members of the conspiracy [or joint venture]; and (3) the statements were made during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy [or joint venture]. *United States v. Cruz-Rea*, 626 F.3d 929, 937 (7th Cir. 2010).<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> No Sixth Amendment confrontation issues are posed at a joint trial by the use of a non-testifying defendant coconspirator's statements which are offered for their truth against another defendant. This is because "the requirements for admission under Rule 801(d)(2)(E) are identical

### A. The Evidentiary Rule is Distinct from the Charged Conspiracy.

Rule 801(d)(2)(E) is an evidentiary rule that is separate from the substantive law of criminal conspiracy. The rule applies, and co-conspirator statements are admissible, whenever a statement is made in furtherance of a joint venture, regardless of whether that joint venture has been charged as a criminal conspiracy. As the Seventh Circuit explained in *United States v. Coe*, 718 F.2d 830 (7th Cir. 1983):

Conspiracy as an evidentiary rule differs from conspiracy as a crime. The crime of conspiracy comprehends much more than just a joint venture or concerted action, whereas the evidentiary rule of conspiracy is founded on concepts of agency law.... Recognizing this, some courts refer to the coconspirator exception as the "joint venture" or "concert of action" exception. ... A charge of criminal conspiracy is not a prerequisite for the invocation of this evidentiary rule. ... Indeed, it may be invoked in civil as well as criminal cases. ...

The proposition that the government did have to establish by a preponderance of independent evidence was that [the individuals] . . . were engaged in a joint venture-that there was a "combination between them . . . ."

Coe, 718 F.2d at 835 (citations omitted). Therefore, statements may be admitted under Rule 801(d)(2)(E) notwithstanding the lack of any formal conspiracy charge. See, e.g., United States v. Godinez, 110 F.3d 448, 454 (7th Cir. 1997); Santiago, 582 F.2d at 1130.

Moreover, the Seventh Circuit has held that co-conspirator statements need not be made within the period of the charged conspiracy in order for them to be admissible pursuant to Rule 801(d)(2)(E). *Godinez*, 110 F.3d at 454 ("It is irrelevant that the statement was not made within the time frame charged in the indictment."). A declarant's statements may be admitted against the

to the requirements of the Confrontation Clause." *United States v. Bourjaily*, 483 U.S. 171, 182 (1987). Thus, there are no "constitutional problems" once Rule 801(d)(2)(E)'s requirements have been met. *Id.* This long-standing rule was not affected by the Supreme Court's decision in *Crawford v. Washington*, 541 U.S. 36 (2004). *See United States v. Hargrove*, 508 F.3d 445 (7th Cir. 2007); *United States v. Jenkins*, 419 F.3d 614, 618 (7th Cir. 2005).

defendants at trial if in furtherance of any "existing conspiracy," and the Rule does not limit admissibility to the dates of the charged conspiracy. *Godinez*, 110 F.3d at 454.

### B. Existence of and Membership in the Conspiracy

Under *Santiago*, the trial judge must preliminarily determine whether statements by a coconspirator of the defendant will be admissible at trial under Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(2)(E). In making this determination the judge must decide "if it is more likely than not that the declarant and the defendant were members of a conspiracy when the hearsay statement was made, and that the statement was in furtherance of the conspiracy . . . ." *Id.* at 1143 (quoting *United States v. Petrozziello*, 548 F.2d 20, 23 (1st Cir. 1977)); *see also United States v. Hoover*, 246 F.3d 1054, 1060 (7th Cir. 2001). If the trial judge determines the statements are admissible, the jury may consider them as it considers all other evidence. *See also United States v. Cox*, 923 F.2d 519, 526 (7th Cir. 1991); *United States v. Wesson*, 33 F.3d 788, 796 (7th Cir. 1994).

According to *Bourjaily v. United States*, 483 U.S. 171, 176-81 (1987), the court can consider the statements in question (the statements seeking to be admitted) to determine whether the *Santiago* criteria have been met. Seventh Circuit cases construing *Bourjaily* have held that properly admitted hearsay, including statements admitted under the co-conspirator exception to the hearsay rule (Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(2)(E)), may be used to prove what another person did or said that may demonstrate their membership in the conspiracy. *United States v. Loscalzo*, 18 F.3d 374, 383 (7th Cir. 1994) ("[W]hile only the defendant's acts or statements could be used to prove that defendant's membership in a conspiracy, evidence of the defendant's acts or statements may be provided by the statements of co-conspirators."); *United States v. Martinez de Ortiz*, 907 F.2d 629, 633 (7th Cir. 1990) (en banc).

While the Court may consider the proffered statements themselves as evidence of both the existence of a conspiracy and a defendant's participation in it, *Bourjaily*, 483 U.S. at 178, 180, *United States v. Harris*, 585 F.3d 394, 398-99 (7th Cir. 2009), the contents of the proffered statements alone are not sufficient to establish the existence of a conspiracy and a defendant's participation. There must also be some supporting evidence or facts corroborating the existence of the conspiracy and defendant's participation. *Harris*, 585 F.3d at 398-99.

The evidence showing the existence of a conspiracy and a defendant's membership in it may be either direct or circumstantial. *See United States v. Johnson*, 592 F.3d 749, 754-55 (7th Cir. 2010); *United States v. Irorere*, 228 F.3d 816, 823 (7th Cir. 2000). Indeed, "[b]ecause of the secretive character of conspiracies, direct evidence is elusive, and hence the existence and the defendants' participation can usually be established only by circumstantial evidence." *United States v. Redwine*, 715 F.2d 315, 319 (7th Cir. 1983).

There is no requirement, for admissibility under Rule 801(d)(2)(E), that the government establish all elements of "conspiracy" such as a meeting of the minds and an overt act. *Coe*, 718 F.2d at 835; *United States v. Gil*, 604 F.2d 546, 548-50 (7th Cir. 1979). "[I]t makes no difference whether the declarant or any other 'partner in crime' could actually be tried, convicted and punished for the crime of conspiracy." *Gil*, 604 F.2d at 549-550; *see also Coe*, 718 F.2d at 835.

Certain principles of general conspiracy law are relevant to the Rule 801(d)(2)(E) inquiries to be made as to the existence of a conspiracy or joint venture and a defendant's membership in it. For instance, "[a] conspiracy may exist even if a conspirator does not agree to commit or facilitate each and every part of the substantive offense." *Salinas v. United States*, 522 U.S. 52, 63-64 (1997). *See also United States v. Longstreet*, 567 F.3d 911, 919 (7th Cir. 2009); *United States v. Jones*, 275

F.3d 648, 652 (7th Cir. 2001). The government need not prove that a defendant knew each and every detail of the conspiracy or played more than a minor role in the conspiracy. *United States v. Curtis*, 324 F.3d 501, 506 (7th Cir. 2003). Further, a defendant joins a criminal conspiracy if he agrees with another person to one or more of the common objectives of the conspiracy; it is immaterial whether the defendant knows, has met, or has agreed with every co-conspirator or schemer. *Longstreet*, 567 F.3d at 919; *United States v. Jones*, 275 F.3d 648, 652 (7th Cir. 2001); *United States v. Boucher*, 796 F.2d 972, 975 (7th Cir. 1986).

A defendant (or other declarant) may be found to have participated in a conspiracy even if he joined or terminated his relationship with other conspirators at different times than another defendant or coconspirator. *United States v. Noble*, 754 F.2d 1324, 1329 (7th Cir. 1985); *see also United States v. Handlin*, 366 F.3d 584, 590 (7th Cir. 2004)("it is irrelevant when the defendant joined the conspiracy so long as he joined it at some point"). Under Rule 801(d)(2)(E), a coconspirator's statement is admissible against conspirators who join the conspiracy after the statement is made. *United States v. Sophie*, 900 F.2d 1064, 1074 (7th Cir. 1990); *United States v. Potts*, 840 F.2d 368, 372 (7th Cir. 1987). A conspirator who has become inactive or less active in the conspiracy nevertheless is liable for his conspirators' further statements unless he openly disavows the conspiracy or reports it to the police. *See United States v. Feldman*, 825 F.2d 124, 129 (7th Cir. 1987).

The government is not required to prove the identity of the declarant; nor must the declarant's identity be confirmed in the statement itself. *See United States v. Bolivar*, 532 F.3d 599, 604-05 (7th Cir. 2008). Rather, the government need only prove (from the statement, the context and/or other evidence) that the declarant was in fact a coconspirator. *Id*.

## C. The "In Furtherance" Requirement

In determining whether a statement was made "in furtherance" of the conspiracy, courts evaluate the statement in the context in which it was made and look for a reasonable basis upon which to conclude that the statement furthered the conspiracy. *See Cruz-Rea*, 626 F.3d at 937; *United States v. Johnson*, 200 F.3d 529, 533 (7th Cir. 2000). Under the reasonable basis standard, a statement may be susceptible to alternative interpretations and still be "in furtherance" of the conspiracy. *Cruz-Rea*, 626 F.3d at 937-38. The "coconspirator's statement need not have been made exclusively, or even primarily, to further the conspiracy" in order to be admissible under the coconspirator exception. *Id.* at 937 (quotations and citations omitted). That statements were made to a government cooperating witness or undercover agent does not bar admission of statements otherwise "in furtherance" of the conspiracy. *United States v. Mahkimetas*, 991 F.2d 379, 383 (7th Cir. 1993); *see also United States v. Ayala*, 601 F.3d 256, 268 (4th Cir. 2010).

The Seventh Circuit has found a wide range of statements satisfy the "in furtherance" requirement. *See, e.g., United States v. Cozzo*, No. 02 CR 400, 2004 WL 1151630 (N.D. III. 2004) (collecting cases). In general, a statement that is "part of the information flow between conspirators intended to help each perform his role" satisfies the "in furtherance" requirement. *United States v. Alviar*, 573 F.3d 526, 545 (7th Cir. 2009)(quotations and citations omitted). *See also United States v. Gajo*, 290 F.3d 922, 929 (7th Cir. 2002). These include statements made:

(1) to conduct or help to conduct the business of the scheme, *United States v. Cox*, 923 F.2d 519, 527 (7th Cir. 1991); *see also United States v. Johnson*, 200 F.3d 529, 533 (7th Cir. 2000);<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Statements that prompt the listener to act in a manner that facilitates the carrying out of the conspiracy are also made "in furtherance" of the conspiracy. *See United States v. Monus*, 128 F.3d 376, 392 (6th Cir. 1997).

- (2) to recruit potential coconspirators, *Cruz-Rea*, 626 F.3d at 937-38; *United States v. Haynes*, 582 F.3d 686, 705 (7th Cir. 2009);
  - (3) to identify other members of the conspiracy and their roles, Alviar, 573 F.3d at 545;
- (4) to plan or to review a coconspirator's exploits, *United States v. Molt*, 772 F.2d 366, 368-69 (7th Cir. 1985);
- (5) as an assurance that a coconspirator can be trusted to perform his role, *Sophie*, 900 F.2d 1064, 1073-74 (7th Cir. 1990); *see also United States v. Bustamante*, 493 F.3d 879, 890-91 (7th Cir. 2007);
- (6) to inform and update others about the current status of the conspiracy or a conspiracy's progress (including failures), *United States v. Rea*, 621 F.3d 595, 605 (7th Cir. 2010); *Alviar*, 573 F.3d at 545;
- (7) to control damage to an ongoing conspiracy, *United States v. Johnson*, 200 F.3d 529, 533 (7th Cir. 2000); *United States v. Molinaro*, 877 F.2d 1341, 1343-44 (7th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Van Daal Wyk*, 840 F.2d 494, 499 (7th Cir. 1988);
- (8) to conceal a conspiracy where ongoing concealment is a purpose of the conspiracy, *Gajo*, 290 F.3d at 928-29; *United States v. Kaden*, 819 F.2d 813, 820 (7th Cir. 1987); *see also United States v. Maloney*, 71 F.3d 645, 659-60 (7th Cir. 1995);
- (9) to reassure or calm the listener regarding the progress or stability of the scheme, *Sophie*, 900 F.2d at 1073; *Garlington v. O'Leary*, 879 F.2d 277, 284 (7th Cir. 1989);
- (10) to report conspirators' status and in turn receive assurances of assistance from coconspirators, *United States v. Prieto*, 549 F.3d 513 (7th Cir. 2008); and
- (11) "describing the purpose, method or criminality of the conspiracy," *United States v. Ashman*, 979 F.2d 469, 489 (7th Cir. 1992).

Finally, any statement made by a conspirator during and in furtherance of a conspiracy is admissible against all coconspirators. *United States v. Rivera*, 136 Fed. Appx. 925, 926 (7th Cir. 2005)(court admitted into evidence a letter written by a coconspirator who was not on trial). "Whether any other coconspirator heard (or, in this instance, saw) that statement is irrelevant; agency, not knowledge, is the theory of admissibility." *Id*.

It also bears mention that "statements made during the course of and in furtherance of a conspiracy, even in its embryonic stages, are admissible against those who arrive late to join a going concern." *United States v. Potts*, 840 F.2d at 372 (citing cases). Moreover, "conversations made by conspirators to prospective coconspirators for membership purposes are acts in furtherance of the conspiracy." *United States v. Shoffner*, 826 F.2d at 628 (quoting and citing cases). A conspirator who has become less active in the conspiracy nevertheless is liable for his conspirators' further statements unless he openly disavows the conspiracy or reports it to the police. *United States v. Maloney*, 71 F.3d 645, 654-55 (7th Cir. 1995) (mere inactivity on the part of the conspirator is not sufficient to constitute withdrawal).

## D. Alternative Bases for Admissibility of Statements

Various statements made during the course of a conspiracy are independently admissible and do not require a Rule 801(d)(2)(E) analysis. A defendant's own statements, for example, are admissible against him pursuant to Rule 801(d)(2)(A), without reference to the coconspirator statement rule.<sup>3</sup> *United States v. Maholias*, 985 F.2d 869, 877 (7th Cir. 1993). Moreover, a defendant's own admissions are powerfully relevant to establish the factual predicates for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Rule 801(d)(2)(A) provides in pertinent part that a "statement" is not hearsay if "[t]he statement is offered against a party and is . . . the party's own statement, in either an individual or a representative capacity."

admission of coconspirator statements against him. *United States v. Godinez*, 110 F.3d 448, 455 (7th Cir. 1997).

The coconspirator statement rule is also not implicated where the relevant verbal declaration is not a "statement" within the meaning of Rule 801(a), that is, not an "assertion" subject to verification; an example would be an order or a suggestion. *See United States v. Tuchow*, 768 F.2d 855, 868 n.18 (7th Cir. 1985). This rule defines "statement" as "an oral or written assertion" or "nonverbal conduct of a person, if it is intended by the person as an assertion." Thus, a statement which is incapable of verification, such as an order or a mere suggestion, is not hearsay and does not invoke a Rule 801(d)(2)(E) analysis. *See, e.g., United States v. Tuchow*, 768 F.2d 855, 868 n.18 (7th Cir. 1985). More importantly, the coconspirator statement rule does not apply when a statement is not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and thus does not constitute "hearsay" as defined by Rule 801(c).<sup>4</sup> Accordingly, statements by alleged coconspirators may be admitted against a defendant, without establishing the *Bourjaily* factual predicates set forth above, when such statements are offered simply to show, for instance, the existence, the illegality, or the nature or scope of the charged conspiracy. *Gajo*, 290 F.3d at 929-30; *See, e.g., United States v. Herrera-Medina*, 853 F.2d 564, 565-66 (7th Cir. 1988); *Van Daal Wyk*, 840 F.2d at 497-98; *Tuchow*, 768 F.2d at 867-69.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Federal Rule of Evidence 801(c) defines hearsay as "a statement, other than one made by the declarant while testifying at the trial or hearing, offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted."

# IV. THE GOVERNMENT'S PROFFER REGARDING THE EXISTENCE OF THE CONSPIRACY AND DEFENDANTS' PARTICIPATION IN IT

At trial, the government's evidence will establish that: (1) all of the defendants were members or associates of the Sinaloa Cartel Mexican Drug Trafficking Organization; (2) the defendants conspired and agreed with each other and with others to possess with the intent to distribute and to distribute in excess of five kilograms or more of cocaine and one kilogram or more of heroin (Count One), and conspired to import into the United States from Mexico more than five kilograms of cocaine and more than one kilogram of heroin (Count Two). This section sets forth an overview of the Sinaloa Cartel, a history of this investigation, and a summary of the evidence the government expects to present to establish the existence of the charged conspiracy and defendants' membership in it. The sources of the government's evidence at trial will include: witness testimony (including cooperating co-conspirators), recordings (including recordings involving both defendant Zambada-Niebla and defendant Arevelo), and seizures of thousands of kilograms of cocaine, heroin, and millions of dollars in United States currency.

#### A. The Sinaloa Cartel

Through the testimony of lay and expert witnesses, the government will establish that the Sinaloa Cartel, as it is commonly known to its members, associates, and the public, is a Mexican drug trafficking organization. The Sinaloa Cartel engages in, among other criminal activities, the importation of multi-ton quantities of cocaine from sources of supply in Central and South America into Mexico and the production or importation into Mexico of multi-kilogram quantities of heroin. The cocaine and heroin are then smuggled by the Sinaloa Cartel, generally in shipments of hundreds of kilograms at a time, from Mexico across the United States border, and then distributed throughout the United States, including to Chicago.

Mexico from Central and South America, including the use of cargo aircraft, private aircraft, submarines and other submersible and semi-submersible vessels, container ships, go-fast boats, fishing vessels, buses, rail cars, tractor trailers, and automobiles. Members of the Sinaloa Cartel coordinate the unloading of multi-ton shipments of cocaine in Mexico, and coordinate the transportation and storage of these shipments within Mexico. Thereafter, members of the Sinaloa Cartel smuggle multi-ton quantities of cocaine, usually in shipments of hundreds of kilograms at a time, and multi-kilogram quantities of heroin, from the interior of Mexico to the United States border, and then into and throughout the United States, including Chicago, for distribution.

Among the distribution cells used by the Sinaloa Cartel was a distribution cell in Chicago operated from Mexico by Pedro Flores and Margarito Flores (the "Flores brothers"). From 2005 through 2008, the Flores brothers were coordinating on behalf of the Sinaloa Cartel and others the distribution in Chicago of approximately 1500 to 2000 kilograms of cocaine per month, as well as multi-kilogram quantities of heroin. The cell controlled by the Flores brothers received regular shipments, every week to ten days on average, of hundreds of kilograms of cocaine. Among those served by the Flores brothers were large-scale cocaine traffickers in Chicago, New York, Washington, D.C., Philadelphia, Cincinnati, Columbus, Detroit, and Los Angeles.

Members of the Sinaloa Cartel used various means to evade law enforcement and protect their narcotics distribution activities, including but not limited to: obtaining guns and other weapons; bribing corrupt public officials and law enforcement officers in Mexico; engaging in violence and threats of violence; and intimidating with threats of violence members of law enforcement, rival narcotics traffickers, and members of their own drug trafficking organizations. Members of the Sinaloa Cartel also used coded language and other means to hide their identities, to misrepresent,

conceal and hide the drug trafficking activities of the conspiracy, and to avoid detection and apprehension by law enforcement authorities.

#### **B.** Structure & Differentiation of Roles

Between 2005 and 2008, and for years before those dates and currently, two of the principal leaders of the Sinaloa Cartel were Joaquin Guzman-Loera, a/k/a "El Chapo," a/k/a "Chapo Guzman," and Ismael Zambada-Garcia, a/k/a "El Mayo," a/k/a "Mayo Zambada." Each headed factions of the Sinaloa Cartel and each was ultimately responsible for the overall cartel's operation. Chapo Guzman and Mayo Zambada, and members of the Guzman-Loera faction and the Zambada-Garcia faction controlled by them, coordinated their narcotics trafficking activities with each other to achieve the goals of the Sinaloa Cartel. Specifically, those goals included obtaining and negotiating the price for multi-ton quantities of cocaine from Central and South American countries, directing and arranging for the transportation of multi-kilogram quantities of cocaine and heroin from the interior of Mexico to the United States border, smuggling this cocaine and heroin into and throughout the United States, and obtaining cash narcotics proceeds for these activities from customers in the United States and elsewhere.

As part of the Sinaloa Cartel's operations, Chapo Guzman and Mayo Zambada obtained and used the services of numerous co-conspirators to further the Sinaloa Cartel's goals. Among the individuals about whom the government expects the Court will hear testimony, either through the testimony of cooperating defendants, on recorded calls, or both, include the following:

#### 1. Jesus Vicente Zambada-Niebla

Defendant Jesus Vicente Zambada-Niebla, a/k/a "Vicente Zambada-Niebla," a/k/a "Vicente Zambada," a/k/a "Mayito," a/k/a "30," who is Mayo Zambada's son, was a high-level member of the Sinaloa Cartel and the Zambada-Garcia faction, and was responsible for many aspects of the

cartel's operations. ZAMBADA-NIEBLA acted, among other things, as a logistical coordinator who coordinated deliveries of multi-kilogram quantities of cocaine and heroin into the United States on behalf of defendant Ismael Zambada-Garcia and the Zambada-Garcia faction, and deliveries of bulk quantities of United States currency to defendant Ismael Zambada-Garcia and the Zambada-Garcia faction from its customers in the United States. Zambada-Niebla also, at times, coordinated the delivery of narcotics from South America to Mexico; consulted with rival cartels on behalf of the Sinaloa Cartel; and participated in high-level meetings with his father, Chapo Guzman, and others to discuss Sinaloa Cartel business.

#### 2. Alfredo Guzman-Salazar

Alfredo Guzman-Salazar, a/k/a "Alfredillo," a son of Joaquin Guzman-Loera, acted as a logistical coordinator who coordinated deliveries of multi-kilogram quantities of cocaine and heroin into the United States on behalf of defendant Joaquin Guzman-Loera and the Guzman-Loera faction, as well as deliveries of bulk quantities of United States currency to Joaquin Guzman-Loera and the Guzman-Loera faction from its customers in the United States.

#### 3. Alfredo Vasquez-Hernandez

Alfredo Vasquez-Hernandez, a/k/a "Alfredo Compadre," acted as a logistical coordinator who coordinated the importation to Mexico from Central and South American countries of multi-ton quantities of cocaine, and deliveries of multi-kilogram quantities of cocaine into the United States on behalf of defendant Joaquin Guzman-Loera and the Guzman-Loera faction, as well as deliveries of bulk quantities of United States currency to Joaquin Guzman-Loera and the Guzman-Loera faction from its customers in the United States.

#### 4. Juan Guzman-Rocha

Juan Guzman-Rocha, a/k/a "Juancho," is a relative of Chapo Guzman and was a high-level member of the Sinaloa Cartel and controlled the "Culiacan Plaza." In other words, he controlled the flow of narcotics through the Culiacan, Mexico area for the Guzman-Loera faction. Juan Guzman-Rocha, a/k/a "Juancho," acted as a narcotics broker and logistical coordinator who coordinated deliveries of multi-kilogram quantities of cocaine and heroin into the United States on behalf of defendant Joaquin Guzman-Loera and the Guzman-Loera faction, as well as deliveries of bulk quantities of United States currency to Joaquin Guzman-Loera and the Guzman-Loera faction from its customers in the United States.

#### 5. German Olivares

German Olivares was a high-level member of the Sinaloa Cartel and controlled the "Juarez Plaza." That is, he controlled the flow of narcotics through the Juarez, Mexico area for the Zambada-Garcia faction. German Olivares acted as a logistical coordinator who coordinated deliveries of multi-kilogram quantities of cocaine and heroin into the United States on behalf of defendant Ismael Zambada-Garcia and the Zambada-Garcia faction, as well as deliveries of bulk quantities of United States currency to defendant Ismael Zambada-Garcia and the Zambada-Garcia faction from its customers in the United States.

#### 6. Felipe LNU

Felipe Last Name Unknown ("Felipe") was a heroin supplier who worked with and for defendant Ismael Zambada-Garcia and the Zambada-Garcia faction, who coordinated deliveries of multi-kilogram quantities of heroin into the United States, as well as deliveries of bulk quantities of United States currency to defendant Ismael Zambada-Garcia and the Zambada-Garcia faction from its customers in the United States.

#### 7. Manuel Fernandez-Navarro

Manuel Fernandez-Navarro, indicted in a companion case in this district, was associated with both the Guzman-Loera faction and Zambada-Garcia faction, and acted as a narcotics broker for, and a customer of, the Sinaloa Cartel. In conjunction with the Sinaloa Cartel, Manuel Fernandez-Navarro received deliveries of multi-kilogram quantities of cocaine and heroin in the United States and elsewhere which were distributed in the United States, and coordinated deliveries of multi-kilogram quantities of cocaine and heroin into the United States, as well as deliveries of bulk quantities of United States currency to both factions in Mexico from their customers in the United States.

#### 8. Tomas Arevalo-Renteria

Tomas Arevalo-Renteria worked with both the Guzman-Loera faction and the Zambada-Garcia faction, and acted as a narcotics broker and customer for both factions and coordinated deliveries of multi-kilogram quantities of cocaine and heroin into the United States, as well as deliveries of bulk quantities of United States currency to both factions in Mexico from their customers in the United States.

#### 9. Pedro Flores and Margarito Flores

Pedro Flores and Margarito Flores were narcotics customers of both the Guzman-Loera faction and the Zambada-Garcia faction, as well as the Beltran-Leyva Cartel, who purchased and distributed multi-ton quantities of cocaine, usually in shipments of hundreds of kilograms at a time, in Chicago and elsewhere. The Flores brothers also purchased and distributed multi-kilogram quantities of heroin from the Sinaloa Cartel for distribution in Chicago, Illinois.

## C. <u>History of the Investigation</u>

The Sinaloa Cartel, and Chapo Guzman and Mayo Zambada in particular, have been the subject of numerous federal investigations, resulting in Chapo Guzman's indictment in no fewer than six federal districts between 1995 and 2009, and Mayo Zambada's indictment in no fewer than three federal districts. In addition, both Guzman-Loera and Zambada-Garcia, as well as their organizations, have been designated by the President of the United States as Narcotics Kingpins pursuant to the Foreign Narcotics Kingpin Designation Act, 21 U.S.C. § 1905(d), and 31 C.F.R., Parts 501 and 598. Both Guzman-Loera and Zambada-Garcia are fugitives in this case. The investigation in the Northern District of Illinois began as a DEA investigation into cocaine and heroin trafficking in Chicago. As part of that investigation, the Flores brothers were identified (as they had been in other investigations) as the sources of supply to large-scale narcotics distributors in the Chicago area, including Milwaukee, Wisconsin, where the Flores brothers had been indicted in 2003 on federal narcotics charges.

In the summer of 2008, the Flores brothers approached the U.S. government about the prospect of cooperating with the government. After a period of discussions with counsel, the Flores brothers began providing the government with information about their narcotics trafficking activities and those associated with them, including their relationship with the leadership of the Sinaloa Cartel.

#### D. The Evidence Establishing the Existence of the Conspiracy

The evidence in this case will be comprised primarily of the testimony of cooperating witnesses, recorded conversations among co-conspirator members of the Sinaloa Cartel, and law enforcement seizures of thousands of kilos of narcotics and millions of dollars of U.S. currency. At trial, the government currently anticipates calling approximately ten cooperating witnesses who were involved in large-scale narcotics trafficking activities with defendant Zambada-Niebla. Each of

these witnesses will offer testimony of Zambada-Niebla's direct participation in the conspiratorial objectives of the Sinaloa Cartel as charged in the indictment, specifically, the import of multi-ton quantities of cocaine from Central and South American countries, including Colombia and Panama, to the interior of Mexico, and then on to the United States. R.75 at 9-10. In addition, several of these witnesses will further testify to Arevalo's membership in the Sinaloa Cartel and his involvement in trafficking thousands of kilograms of cocaine and dozens of kilograms of heroin.

The bulk of the witnesses the government intends to call at trial will offer direct evidence of Zambada-Niebla's participation in the conspiracy during the period alleged in the second superseding indictment, May 2005 through December 2008. These witnesses will testify regarding their interaction with defendant himself in Mexico as defendant engaged in a number of activities to further the conspiratorial objective, including attending and participating in meetings with high-level members of the Sinaloa Cartel, including defendant's father, to discuss narcotics trafficking; negotiating ton-quantity cocaine shipments from South America into Mexico and subsequently into the United States; overseeing the delivery of cocaine shipments at sea; and coordinating land transport of cocaine within Mexico. Several of the witnesses will further testify to Arevalo-Renteria's attendance at high-level meetings of the Sinaloa Cartel and his coordination of two heroin shipments from Mexico to Chicago in October and November 2008. Considered individually and collectively, this testimony will establish the existence of the conspiracy involving the charged members of the Sinaloa Cartel and support the admission of co-conspirator statements summarized below.

Zambada-Niebla, Arevalo-Renteria, Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, Juan Guzman-Rocha, German Olivares, Felipe LNU, Pedro Flores, Margarito Flores, and others formed a confederation for the purpose of committing, by their joint efforts, a criminal act; namely, conspiring to import into

that there was a "participatory link" between Zambada-Niebla and Arevalo-Renteria and the conspiracy, that is, Zambada-Niebla and Arevalo-Renteria each knew of the conspiracy and intended to join and associate himself with its criminal design and purpose. *See, e.g., United States v. Pulido*, 69 F.3d 192, 206 (7th Cir. 1995). The government's evidence will further establish that the conspiracy began no later than May 2005 and ended no earlier than December 2008.

The statements summarized below are based principally on the prior testimony of certain coconspirators and recorded calls in the possession of the government. Because almost all of these statements are quite extensive and detailed, and because the number of coconspirators in this conspiracy is quite large, this *Santiago* proffer is necessarily just a summary of the statements that have been and will continue to be provided to the defense, particularly following the Court's December 1, 2011, deadline for the production of § 3500 and *Giglio* material and in light of the government's proffered security concerns for these witnesses.<sup>5</sup>

The government will introduce at trial numerous tape-recorded telephone conversations between and among co-conspirators that reflect methods of operation of the conspiracy, including prices for cocaine, transportation methods, the progress of shipments, the transportation of shipments, and the identification of co-conspirators. Many of these conversations were recorded

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The government continues to evaluate potential trial witnesses, and has information that additional witnesses, some of whom have been interviewed by Assistant U.S. Attorneys and agents from other federal districts, may have relevant testimony in the trial of this matter. Thus, this proffer simply identifies the categories of co-conspirator statements the government will seek to introduce, with specific examples of each. The government will make the requisite disclosures to the defense and the Court as its witness list becomes more concrete, but the type of co-conspirator statements are disclosed in this filing. The government reserves its right to supplement this filing as well as its pre-trial disclosures as necessary based on the undersigned interview of additional witnesses.

by the Flores brothers after they began cooperating with the government, and include conversations with both defendants Zambada-Niebla and Arevalo, as well as numerous narcotics-related conversations with several other co-conspirators. The Seventh Circuit has repeatedly held that "[a] co-conspirator's arrest does not automatically terminate a conspiracy; the remaining conspirators may continue to carry out the goals of the conspiracy notwithstanding the arrest of one of their partners." *United States v. Mealy*, 851 F.2d 890, 901 (7th Cir. 1988) (*citing United States v. Papia*, 560 F.2d 827, 835 (7th Cir.1977); *United States v. Thompson*, 476 F.2d 1196, 1200 (7th Cir.)). Citing a similar Sixth Circuit case, the Seventh Circuit explained:

[W]here, as here, the unarrested coconspirators are still capable of perpetuating the ongoing conspiracy, the statements made by them to the arrested conspirator are admissible for Rule 801(d)(2)(E) purposes, even when the arrested conspirator was acting "under the direction and surveillance of government agents to obtain evidence against the coconspirators."

*Id.* at 901 (*citing United States v. Hamilton*, 689 F.2d 1262, 1269 (6th Cir.1982)). The Seventh Circuit thus held, "The fact that one party to the conversation was a government informant does not preclude the admission of the conspirator's statements under Rule 801(d)(2)(E)." *Id.* 

# 1. Testimony from Pedro and Margarito Flores Regarding the Beginning of the Charged Conspiracy

According to cooperating defendants Pedro Flores and Margarito Flores (the Flores brothers), in approximately May 2005, the Flores brothers were summoned to a series of meetings with several high-ranking members of the Sinaloa Cartel. Prior to these meetings, the Flores brothers had been receiving cocaine and heroin from the Sinaloa Cartel, but from lower-level members and associates of the cartel. The May 2005 meetings marked the Flores brothers' first interactions with the cartel leadership and led to direct agreements between the leadership and the

Flores brothers under which the Sinaloa Cartel supplied tons of cocaine and heroin to the Flores brothers which was ultimately distributed in Chicago and elsewhere in the United States.

The Flores brothers first attended a meeting in Culiacan, Sinaloa with Zambada-Garcia, Guzman-Rocha, Olivares, Zambada-Niebla, Arevalo-Renteria, and several bodyguards and other members of the Sinaloa Cartel. The Flores brothers and Zambada-Garcia first reached an agreement to re-pay a debt owed by the Flores brothers from their prior dealings with a lower-level Sinaloa Cartel member. The Flores brothers informed Zambada-Garcia that, over the course of the last several years leading up to the May 2005 meeting, the Flores brothers had purchased and sold a total of between approximately 15 and 20 tons of cocaine from the Sinaloa Cartel. Based on this past relationship with lower-level members of the cartel, the Flores brothers assured Zambada-Garcia that they were willing and able to pay their debt in full.

Additional meetings followed over the next few days, during which the Flores brothers negotiated an agreement by which Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and other members of the Zambada-Garcia faction of the Sinaloa Cartel would provide cocaine and heroin to the Flores brothers. In particular, Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and others agreed to provide cocaine to the Flores brothers on credit at a predetermined price. Zambada-Garcia explained that this was the same price received by other high-level members of the Sinaloa Cartel. By the terms of the agreement, after the Flores brothers sold the cocaine to their own customers, they were to provide payment to Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and their associates by delivering bulk United States currency to an agreed upon location. During the course of the meetings, Zambada-Garcia and Zambada-Niebla made it clear that Zambada-Niebla was authorized to speak for his father, Zambada-Garcia. On approximately the third day of the meetings, Zambada-Garcia informed the

Flores brothers that Guzman-Loera wanted to meet with them. The Flores brothers were then flown from an airstrip near Culiacan to a mountaintop compound to meet with Guzman-Loera. Guzman-Loera informed the Flores brothers that he would honor the same agreement that the Flores brothers reached with Zambada-Garcia. Guzman-Loera instructed the Flores brothers that one of Guzman-Loera's lieutenants would handle the logistics of delivering cocaine to Chicago and collecting payment after the Flores brothers sold the cocaine.

### 2. The Transportation of Cocaine to the United States

#### a. Flores Brothers Testimony

After the meetings with Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and others, the Flores brothers began to receive regular shipments of cocaine from Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and their lieutenants, Guzman-Rocha and Olivares. These shipments contained hundreds of kilos of cocaine each and occurred on average once a week. Consistent with the agreement reached with Sinaloa Cartel leaders Guzman-Loera and Zambada-Garcia, the Flores brothers paid the same price per kilogram of cocaine, regardless of whether the cocaine was received from Guzman-Loera or Zambada-Garcia. While it would vary from transaction to transaction, the Flores brothers agreed with Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and others to a system to determine who bore the risk of losing loads of cocaine to law enforcement seizure or theft at any given point of the journey from Mexico until it was distributed inside the United States. Under this system, the Sinaloa Cartel would retain responsibility for the cocaine until it arrived in a certain location, and then the Flores brothers would take over responsibility of the cocaine from that location until it was sold to customers in the United States. In general, the Flores brothers did not became responsible for cocaine until it arrived in Chicago; although, starting in early 2008, the

Flores brothers also occasionally took possession of cocaine in Los Angeles and then transported the cocaine to Chicago themselves. The Sinaloa Cartel generally bore the responsibility for having the cocaine or heroin cross the U.S.-Mexico border. The location used to determine who would be responsible for the cocaine was also used to determine the price of the cocaine. Accordingly, the Flores brothers generally paid Chicago wholesale prices for drugs delivered by the Sinaloa Cartel.

#### b. Seizures of Cocaine

The proffered testimony of the Flores brothers regarding the size, means, and methods of the conspiracy is corroborated by law enforcement's seizure of approximately 398 kilograms of cocaine on or about June 5, 2005, in Bloomington, Illinois. According to the Flores brothers, this load of cocaine was negotiated directly with Zambada-Niebla. Prior to this point, Zambada-Niebla had his own workers unload the tractor trailers that brought loads of cocaine up from Mexico and then delivered the drugs to the Flores brothers in smaller portions. In June 2005, Zambada-Niebla informed the Flores brothers that he was comfortable with the Flores brothers' workers unloading the cocaine themselves. Zambada-Niebla then arranged for the approximately 398-kilogram load to be sent to a warehouse the Flores brothers operated in Bloomington. The truck carrying the load was stopped by the Illinois State Police for a routine inspection and the drugs were discovered and seized. According to the Flores brothers, after the load was seized, Zambada-Niebla instructed the Flores brothers to acquire law enforcement records to prove that the load had in fact been seized. The Flores brothers acquired some form of paperwork and submitted it to Zambada-Niebla. Zambada-Niebla later informed the Flores brothers that they would not be held accountable for the seizure since they were not in possession of the load at the time of the ISP stop.

# 3. The Transportation of Narcotics Proceeds from the United States to Mexico

#### a. Flores Brothers Testimony

The Flores brothers further agreed with Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and others to make payment for shipments received through use of a system similar to the transportation system used to move the drugs themselves. After the drugs were sold to the Flores brothers' customers in the United States, the Flores brothers collected payment in the form of U.S. currency. The currency was then consolidated, packaged, and transported in bulk to Mexico. The currency was hidden in trap compartments, generally located within the roofs of tractor trailers and delivered to Sinaloa Cartel couriers in Chicago and Los Angeles. From their conversations with Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and others, the Flores brothers were aware that this money was delivered to safe houses operated by the Sinaloa Cartel and then transported back to the Sinaloa Cartel through its transportation networks. The Flores brothers are further aware that the cash deposits were safely transported to Mexico because, in most instances, one of the Flores brothers' workers would go to Sinaloa Cartel stash houses in Mexico to verify that the count of the money was correct.

#### b. Money Seizures

The proffered testimony of the Flores brothers regarding the collection and handling of cash narcotics proceeds is corroborated by multiple law enforcement money seizures in amounts consistent with the Flores' brothers description of the volume of drugs and money involved in this conspiracy. Between October 29, 2008, and November 25, 2008, law enforcement seized a total of approximately \$15,185,000 in cash narcotics proceeds directly related to the charged conspiracy, as described below:

- (1) On or about April 14, 2008, law enforcement seized approximately \$4,000,000 in United States currency in Palos Hills, Illinois;
- (2) On or about October 29, 2008, law enforcement seized approximately \$4,700,000 in United States currency in Hinsdale, Illinois;
- (3) On or about November 4, 2008, law enforcement seized approximately \$4,000,000 in United States currency in Hinsdale, Illinois;
- (4) On or about November 17, 2008, law enforcement seized approximately \$1,000,000 in United States currency in Romeoville, Illinois;
- (5) On or about November 22, 2008, law enforcement seized approximately \$715,000 in United States currency in Chicago, Illinois;<sup>6</sup> and
- (6) On or about November 25, 2008, law enforcement seized approximately \$4,770,000 in United States currency in Romeoville, Illinois.

These seizures were the result of searches of money stash houses used by the Flores brothers and direct interactions with couriers for the Flores brothers and the Sinaloa Cartel. In furtherance of the investigation, a controlled delivery was made from law enforcement agents to couriers of the Sinaloa Cartel of the \$4,000,000 recovered from a Flores brothers' stash house in Hinsdale on November 4, 2008. In conducting the controlled delivery, DEA agents from Chicago transported the \$4,000,000 to Los Angeles. On November 12, 2008, this money was provided to couriers for the Sinaloa Cartel. Law enforcement maintained surveillance of the money and observed as it was taken to a warehouse and then subsequently loaded into a tractor trailer. On November 13, 2008, agents followed the tractor trailer as it left the Los Angeles area and drove to the U.S.-Mexico border. Agents observed the tractor trailer carrying the money across the border to Mexicali, Baja

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>As described in further detail below, the \$715,000 recovered on November 22, 2008 was related to the payment made by the Flores brothers to Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and Felipe LNU for a November 2008 15-kilogram of heroin shipment. In furtherance of the investigation, this money was provided to a courier for the Sinaloa Cartel in a controlled delivery.

California, Mexico. The flow of this money is consistent with the Flores brothers description of how payments were made to the Sinaloa Cartel on a regular basis. The money was first collected from the Flores brothers' narcotics customers as payment for drugs received; the money was then consolidated, counted, and packaged in a Flores brothers' stash house; next the money was transported from Chicago to Los Angeles, where it was provided to couriers of the Sinaloa Cartel; and finally, the money was smuggled across the border into Mexico to be delivered to the Sinaloa Cartel as payment for the Flores brothers' running debt.

# 3. Witness Testimony Concerning Deliveries of Drugs from Central and South America to Mexico

In addition to the testimony of the Flores brothers, the government will introduce evidence at trial of defendant Zambada-Niebla's involvement, together with other co-conspirators, in the importation of cocaine into Mexico from Central and South America. Much of this evidence will involve cooperating witnesses' direct participation with defendant Zambada-Niebla in negotiating and taking delivery of ton-quantities of cocaine in Mexico in transit from Central and South America. Certain of these witnesses' testimony will involve statements of co-conspirators – including but not limited to defendant's father, Ismael Zambada-Garcia – in discussions in which defendant Zambada-Niebla participated or that served as the predicate for defendant Zambada-Niebla's coordination of the importation of loads of cocaine.

For example, as reflected in the government's 404(b) filing, filed contemporaneously herewith, Cooperating Witness A ("CW-A") was formerly associated with a drug trafficking organization in Colombia ("DTO-A") that supplied large volumes of cocaine to Mexican drug trafficking organizations, including the Sinaloa Cartel. With respect to the Sinaloa Cartel, CW-A's role within DTO-A was to travel to Mexico to represent the interests of DTO-A in its dealings with

the Sinaloa Cartel. CW-A met with senior-level members of the Sinaloa Cartel to negotiate prices and quantities of loads of cocaine, arrange for the logistics of the transportation of loads of cocaine from Colombia to the Sinaloa Cartel in Mexico, and arrange for cocaine to be delivered back to DTO-A inside the United States after the Sinaloa Cartel had crossed the cocaine into the United States. In approximately 1994, CW-A's primary contacts within the Sinaloa Cartel were Ismael Zambada-Garcia and Amado Carrrillo-Fuentes, now deceased. At a 1994 meeting at a ranch near Ciudad Juarez, Chihuahua, Mexico, CW-A, Zambada-Garcia, and Carrillo-Fuentes discussed a plan by which DTO-A would begin to send loads of cocaine by go-fast boat from Colombia to Quintana-Roo, Mexico, near Cancun. Specifically, Zambada-Garcia and CW-A agreed that the Sinaloa Cartel would receive loads near Cancun and transport the cocaine received to New York City where it would be returned to DTO-A. Thereafter, CW-A and defendant Zambada-Niebla personally participated in the delivery of three such loads in which defendant Zambada-Niebla participated in the delivery and inspection of ton-quantities of cocaine arriving in Mexico.

Similarly, Cooperating Witness B ("CW-B") was formerly associated with a drug trafficking organization in Colombia ("DTO-B") that supplied large volumes of cocaine to Mexican drug trafficking organizations, including the Sinaloa Cartel. CW-B's role was to accompany Mexican counterparts, including defendant, when loads of cocaine were off-loaded from Colombian go-fast boats to Mexican boats, brought ashore to specified Mexican arrival beaches, and then counted and checked for quality. CW-B will testify that on approximately 20 to 30 occasions between 2002 and 2004, he/she assisted with the unloading of ships carrying between 1.5 and 3.5 metric tons of cocaine each, and that on a significant majority of occasions, Zambada-Niebla was present to perform the quality check, count the number of kilograms, and take delivery of the cocaine. CW-B

would testify that on each of these occasions, CW-B would personally accompany others in Mexican boats to rendezvous at sea with a Colombian vessel. CW-B's role was to verify the kilo count, both as it was unloaded from the Colombian vessel to the Mexican boats and again on the beach. CW-B observed Zambada-Niebla's role in each operation, and will testify that Zambada-Niebla directed others in the delivery process once it reached the beach. CW-B observed Zambada-Niebla conducting the count of kilograms, and performing an inspection of the cocaine with a knife to verify quality and color, examining shipments for shine and scaly presence. CW-B observed Zambada-Niebla coordinating the loading of cocaine onto trucks, which were then packed with a cover load of fish and ice. CW-B observed Zambada-Niebla leaving with the trucks, and observed that defendant had a radio with which to contact Mexican police to secure safe passage of the cocaine load. According to CW-B, Zambada-Niebla had "paid for the road."

Cooperating Witnesses C and D ("CW-C "and CW-D") were formerly associated with a drug trafficking organization in Colombia ("DTO-C") that supplied large volumes of cocaine to Mexican drug trafficking organizations, including the Sinaloa Cartel. CW-C and CW-D will testify to attending a meeting in Culiacan in approximately 2008. Also present at the meeting were Zambada-Niebla, Juan Guzman-Rocha, and Sinaloa Cartel Member-A (SCM-A). Prior to this meeting, through CW-C and CW-D, DTO-C had historically supplied the Sinaloa Cartel with cocaine through maritime shipments in the Pacific Ocean. SCM-A coordinated with CW-C and CW-D to bring the drug shipments ashore in Mexico from DTO-C's boats that carried the cocaine up from Colombia. At the time of the meeting, DTO-C had a multi-ton load of cocaine in Central America. CW-C informed SCM-A that the load would have to be sent to Mexico in go-fast boats on the Atlantic side.

At the meeting, SCM-A introduced CW-C and CW-D to Zambada-Niebla and

Guzman-Rocha. SCM-A explained that SCM-A had no experience in receiving loads on the Atlantic side, so he was bringing in Zambada-Niebla because of Zambada-Niebla's vast experience in receiving loads of cocaine from the Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. Zambada-Niebla and SCM-A then engaged in a negotiation with CW-C over the price of the load of cocaine. The parties agreed that DTO-C would sell half of the cocaine to the Sinaloa Cartel at the wholesale price of cocaine in Central America and the other half of the cocaine at the wholesale price in Mexico City. After the price and quantity were agreed upon, Zambada-Niebla informed CW-C and CW-D that one of his workers, SCM-B, would arrange for the specific logistics of the rendevous between the go-fast boat bringing the cocaine from Central America and the boats responsible for bringing the cocaine ashore in Mexico. From their conversations with SCM-A and other members of the Sinaloa Cartel and DTO-C, CW-C and CW-D are aware that the cocaine was successfully delivered to Mexico. After the success of this first load, DTO-C sent approximately two to three more loads over the next several months in the same fashion to the Sinaloa Cartel. Zambada-Niebla coordinated the Sinaloa Cartel's receipt of each of these loads.

Also during the same meeting in Culiacan, CW-D overheard Zambada-Niebla talking on a Nextel-type radio phone to one of Zambada-Niebla's workers. The phone was on speaker, so CW-D was able to hear both sides of the conversation. Zambada-Niebla informed his worker that there was a new "commandante," law enforcement commander, in Culiacan. Zambada-Niebla ordered his worker to bring the commandante to Zambada-Niebla for a meeting. CW-D heard Zambada-Niebla say, in words or substance, "he [the commandante] is either going to work with us, or you know what will happen to him [commandante will either accept corruption payments from the Sinaloa Cartel or he will be killed]."

Cooperating Witness E ("CW-E") was formerly associated with a drug trafficking organization in Colombia that supplied large volumes of cocaine to Mexican drug trafficking organizations, including the Sinaloa Cartel. CW-E will testify to attending a meeting in 2008 in a mountainous region of Mexico among Colombian cocaine suppliers, Ismael Zambada-Garcia, defendant Zambada-Niebla, and others, in which the parties discussed a plan to pay off a debt for an investment Zambada-Garcia had made in a multi-ton shipment of cocaine with further cocaine supplies. Terms of the transaction were discussed, in which defendant Zambada-Niebla participated, but in which co-conspirator Zambada-Garcia also made several statements regarding the cocaine transactions.

Consistent with the proffered testimony of these individuals, during the course of their dealings with Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and others, the Flores brothers also became aware of many of the Sinaloa Cartel's means and methods of importing cocaine to Mexico from Colombia. At times, the Flores brothers engaged in direct conversations with Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, Guzman-Rocha, Olivares, Alfredo Guzman-Salazar, and Alfredo Vasquez-Hernandez regarding these means and methods when the Flores brothers invested directly in loads that the Sinaloa Cartel was to receive from its sources of supply in Colombia. The Flores brothers were typically informed of the progress of cocaine shipments from Colombia to Mexico and the methods that the organizations were using to transport those shipments. Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and others often agreed to sell the Flores brothers significant quantities of those shipments well before the shipments arrived in Mexico. When the Flores brothers had purchased a percentage of a load, they were kept apprised of the progress of the load by Zambada-Neibla, Guzman-Rocha, Olivares, and others. In particular, the Flores brothers

were informed of the timing of maritime shipments and the methods used to deliver them from Colombia, which included go-fast boats, submarines and semi-submersibles, fishing and cargo vessels, and other means. On several occasions, the Flores brothers were invited by Zambada-Niebla, Guzman-Rocha, Olivares, and others to inspect large shipments of cocaine when they arrived in Mexico in order to allow the Flores brothers inspect the quality and select portions of the load that they wished to purchase.

In addition, from their conversations with co-conspirator members of the Sinaloa Cartel, the Flores brothers were aware that Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and their organizations used 747 cargo planes to import ton-quantities of cocaine from Central and South America to Mexico. According to the Flores brothers, Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, and Zambada-Niebla also used smaller airplanes to transport drugs by air and tractor trailers with trap compartments, buses, trains, and personal automobiles to transport cocaine, first from Central and South America to Mexico, and then from Mexico into the United States. Finally the Flores brothers learned from the conversations with Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and others that the Sinaloa Cartel used a network of tunnels to transport narcotics from Mexico into the United States.

- 4. Recorded Conversations Proving the Existence of and Defendants' Participation in the Conspiracy
  - a. November 2008 Recorded Conversation with Alfredo Vasquez-Hernandez

The proffered testimony of the Flores brothers regarding the means and methods by which the Sinaloa Cartel transported narcotics is corroborated by numerous recorded conversations and other evidence, including a recorded conversation between the Flores brothers, co-defendant Alfredo

Vasquez-Hernandez, and others which occurred on or about November 2, 2008. Vasquez-Hernandez was a logistical coordinator for the Sinaloa Cartel who arranged for cocaine to be received by the cartel in Mexico and delivered to its customers in the United States. During the recorded conversation, Vasquez-Hernandez, Pedro Flores, and Margarito Flores discussed an impending multi-ton submarine load to be delivered from Colombia to the Sinaloa Cartel. The Flores brothers had invested, or prepaid, for a portion of this load.

Vasquez-Hernandez informed the Flores brothers that he believed that the load would arrive soon and remarked that the Flores brothers would potentially hear about the arrival of the load from other co-conspirators before Vasquez-Hernandez. Vasquez-Hernandez then explained the specifics of a particular method used by the Sinaloa Cartel to smuggle cocaine into Mexico from Central and South America. In particular, Vasquez-Hernandez explained that the Sinaloa Cartel had multiple 747 cargo aircraft that it used for this purpose. The Sinaloa Cartel arranged to have shipments of clothing sent to Central and South America as part of a humanitarian aid project. Once the planes landed in Central or South America, the clothing was offloaded and up to 13 tons of cocaine was loaded onto the plane for the return trip to Mexico. The planes landed at Mexico City International Airport where the cocaine was offloaded from the planes and smuggled out of the airport through various means. Vasquez-Hernandez explained that the three most recent trips utilizing this method resulted in the importation of 1700, 7000, and 12,000 kilos of cocaine, respectively, into Mexico.

# b. May 2008 to November 2008 Recorded Conversations with German Olivares

German Olivares acted as a logistics coordinator and right-hand man to Zambada-Garcia.

Olivares negotiated the price and quantity of loads of cocaine distributed by the Sinaloa Cartel and collected payment for drugs that were provided on credit. With oversight from Zambada-Niebla,

Olivares often negotiated loads of cocaine provided to the Flores brothers and was primarily responsible for accounting for the running debt owed by the Flores brothers for narcotics supplied by Zambada-Garcia and Zambada-Niebla. Between May 2008 and November 2008, Pedro Flores consensually recorded three phone conversations with Olivares regarding the Flores brothers' debt and payments made to the cartel. In one conversation, Olivares informed Flores that there were currently 400 kilograms of cocaine in transit to the Flores brothers and asked that the Flores brothers pay \$20,000 per kilogram:<sup>7</sup>

GO: How much are you going to pay me? How much am I going to make?

PF: I don't know, so you tell me so that...so that...right? So that I can get the people ready now. You let me know.

GO: Could I give them to you at 20 [sell kilos of cocaine at \$20,000 per kilo]?

PF: Hum...Yes, I think...but I just wanted to know so that I can call everyone to let them know and then see what they say.

GO: That's why I'm saying because I'm going up. I'm not stupid, if I don't raise it, I won't make anything.

PF: Oh. . . . how many are they?

GO: It's 400 that are on their way [400 kilos of cocaine]. I was going to send a fucking two-hundred and two-hundred. . . .but they made a mistake and threw it all in.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This filing contains portions of transcripts from recorded, Spanish language conversations. Such translated quotations are intended as draft materials only and do not reflect a final transcription of the recordings. The preliminary draft language is subject to change prior to trial and is produced herein only to assist the Court in making its findings and to provide adequate notice to defendants of the existence of the statements to allow for the preparation of a defense. As these materials are expressly offered as draft transcripts, they cannot be used as substantive evidence or as impeachment at trial. Portions of these recordings were conducted in coded terms. Statements in [brackets] indicate instances where these coded terms have been interpreted by cooperating witnesses and/or law enforcement agents.

PF: Oh, so it's all going to get here at once?

GO: Yes. So that you can get ready. . . . so that you can get a ride to receive 400.

PF: Yeah, yeah. I have a. . . . a truck. . . . a pick-up.

In a subsequent recorded phone conversation from November 2008, Pedro Flores and Olivares discussed a payment that the Flores brothers had recently sent to the Sinaloa Cartel.

PF: Hey, was everything okay with the other check [payment for a past load of cocaine]?

GO: No, it was short fifteen thousand four hundred and twenty [\$15,420].

PF: Really?

GO: Yes, for real. They counted it there; one thousand thirty-five [\$1,035,000]. The four hundred twenty are worthless. Fifteen thousand [\$15,000]. Let them know.

PF: Okay, I'll tell them. It should be one thousand fifty [\$1,050,000]. But I'll ask right now.

GO: Check with the one that brought it [person who transported the money].

PF: Yes. He's the one that brought it down. I don't know because I made a deposit for two thousand one hundred and fifty [\$2,150,000], plus the percentage they were going to charge me. Maybe they took something out.

GO: Check it out well there.

PF: Okay, I'm going to check that. And...and...anyway I'm fixing things even if he doesn't comply [Flores will pay the money even if it is the transporters fault].

### 5. Flores Brothers' Drug Ledgers

In addition to the testimony of witnesses and the introduction of recorded conversations regarding narcotics supplied by the Sinaloa Cartel to the Flores brothers which were distributed in the United States, the government will also offer into evidence drug ledgers maintained by Pedro

Flores and Margarito Flores during the operative dates of the conspiracy. These ledgers are not complete and do not reflect the entirety of the drug trafficking activities which occurred during the conspiracy; however, the ledgers were created by the Flores brothers at or near the time of the events contained therein and provide an accurate accounting of narcotics received and distributed, as well as cash narcotics proceeds collected from the Flores brothers customers and smuggled to Mexico as payments to the Sinaloa Cartel for drugs received on credit. The coded ledgers reflect the receipt of ton quantities of cocaine from the Sinaloa Cartel and the payment of millions of dollars back to the cartel after the drugs were sold to the Flores brothers' customers.

### 6. Testimony, Recordings and Seizures Related to November 2008 13-Kilogram and 20-Kilogram Heroin Transactions

In late October 2008, Margarito Flores attended a multi-day meeting with Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Neibla, Felipe LNU, and other members of the Sinaloa Cartel. Consistent with past meetings, Margarito Flores was flown in a small plane from an airstrip outside Puerto Rico, Sinaloa, Mexico, to a mountaintop compound. Margarito Flores first met with Zambada-Garcia and Zambada-Niebla to discuss future narcotics transactions. Zambada-Garcia and Zambada-Niebla asked Margarito Flores whether the Flores brothers were able to distribute heroin at that time. Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and Margarito Flores discussed the price per kilo at which the Flores brothers could sell heroin. Zambada-Garcia then asked Margarito Flores to work with Zambada-Garcia's associate Felipe LNU to distribute heroin in the United States. Zambada-Garcia and Zambada-Niebla then introduced Margarito Flores to Felipe LNU. Ultimately the Flores brothers agreed to purchase 13 kilograms of heroin from Felipe LNU for a total price of \$715,000.

Following the discussion about heroin, Margarito Flores had a further discussion with Zambada-Niebla, Felipe LNU, and others about marijuana. Although the Flores brothers did not

have an established customer base for marijuana, Zambada-Niebla and the others stated that they wanted to sell the Flores brothers ten to fifteen tons of marijuana per month. However, no marijuana transaction occurred following this discussion.

In November 2008, the Flores brothers received the 13 kilograms of the heroin that they agreed to purchase from Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Neibla, and Felipe LNU. The Flores brothers informed DEA that the heroin had been received, which resulted in a partial seizure of the load, approximately 8 kilograms. Near the same time, the Flores brothers also received a shipment of 20 kilograms of heroin that originated with Guzman-Loera. That load from Guzman-Loera was also seized by law enforcement. Following the seizures, Pedro Flores and Margarito Flores consensually recorded a series of telephone conversations with co-defendants Alfredo Guzman-Salazar, Juan Guzman-Rocha, Felipe LNU, Zambada-Niebla, and Guzman-Loera. During these calls, the Flores brothers claimed that the heroin that they received from Guzman-Loera. In reality, all of the heroin was approximately 94 percent pure; however, as explained in further detail below, the Flores brothers used the ruse of the quality of the heroin as a predicate to have detailed discussions regarding the shipments of heroin with several co-conspirators.

### a. Recorded Conversations and Seizure Related to Alfredo Guzman-Salazar

In a series of recorded conversations with Guzman-Salazar (Guzman-Loera's son), Pedro Flores and Margarito Flores agree to take receipt of 18 kilograms of heroin from Guzman-Salazar and his father. In the same calls, the Flores brothers and Guzman-Salazar exchange information that allowed Guzman-Salazar's courier to deliver the heroin to the Flores brothers' courier. After the heroin was delivered and seized, Pedro Flores informed Guzman-Salazar that the courier had

delivered an extra two kilograms, for a total of 20. On or about November 14, 2008, Pedro Flores recorded a call with Guzman-Salazar during which Flores asked Guzman-Salazar to provide him with a number Flores could use to contact Guzman-Loera.

#### b. Recorded Conversation with Juan Guzman-Rocha

On or about November 15, 2008, Pedro Flores recorded a conversation with Sinaloa Cartel logistics coordinator Juan Guzman-Rocha regarding the heroin shipments that the Flores brothers received – one from Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, Felipe LNU and the other from Guzman-Loera and Guzman-Salazar. Guzman-Rocha informed Flores that members of the Sinaloa Cartel were looking for them to collect payment for the heroin shipments. The Flores brothers stated that they intended to make payment to Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, and Felipe, but explained in coded terms that the heroin from the Zambadas was poor quality. Guzman-Rocha clarified who supplied the heroin, stating "No, but I don't think it was my father [Guzman-Loera]. It was my uncle [Zambada-Garcia]. It was the father of '30' [Zambada-Niebla]." Guzman-Rocha continued, "But how much did my uncle [Zambada-Garcia] give you?" Flores responded, "I think he gave me ten, ten. No, thirteen [13 kilograms of heroin]." Flores continued, "the thing is, the truth, the truth between us, it wasn't that good. Your father's [Guzman-Loera's] were better." Guzman-Rocha responded, "Oh, my father's were better?"

Flores and Guzman-Rocha then engaged in the following dialogue regarding the comparative quality between the 20 kilograms of heroin received from Guzman-Loera and the 13 kilograms of heroin from the Zambadas:

PF: No, no, no, but my brother. I...it is...everything is like...he's had the phone number. My brother [Margarito Flores] even told me, look, I spoke to the man the other day, right? He called me, but what I was thinking over here with your father [Guzman-Loera] that...man, the truth is they were really

good. Why am I going to lie to you, right? And I said, man, if, if he does that favor for me and I negotiate something with him [lower the price of the heroin from Guzman-Loera]. Right? I'll pay him out of my pocket. And uhm, and he can send me other ones, right? The same way. Because they gave me twenty.

G-R: Yeah. He gave you a twenty?

PF: Yeah.

GR: But the other ones are really bad?

PF: The truth, the truth, the truth, man. They returned, plus I took out...and the only way that I can arrange them is if I mix them with the twenty. Because the twenty came out really good.

GR: That's why, man. You guys shouldn't feel bad about talking to the lady [Zambada-Garcia].

PF: Mmm.

GR: Because then they're going to keep sending you guys that shit [bad quality heroin], man.

PF: No, no, the thing is, the truth, the truth just like you told me that, next time I was going to tell you, she should send it, but they should send quality. You know what I mean? Because, no, it's not just that...

GR: That's why, so you guys should tell her now, man, right now. You know what? Call her at that moment...

PF: Mm-huh.

GR: ...You know what? That is no good.

PF: Mm-huh.

GR: This is really bad.

PF: Yeah, yeah, I told her because I have a check [payment for the heroin].

GR: At the moment, well, the lady [Zambada-Garcia]...but the lady gets upset because you don't say the truth at the moment. If you guys go and complain later that's what...as long as it's at that moment...

PF: Mmm.

GR: ...You tell her, listen, you know what? This is bad.

Flores informed Guzman-Rocha that he had "about half" of the heroin from the Zambadas remaining. Guzman-Rocha asked Flores what price Guzman-Loera was demanding of Flores, and Flores said, "Uh, the last time he saw me, he gave them to me for 55, right [\$55,000]." Guzman-Rocha responded, "Who, my father [Guzman-Loera]?" Flores said, "yes." Guzman-Rocha then asked, "And the other one, what about my uncle [Zambada-Garcia]? The same [\$55,000 per kilo]?" Flores responded, "The same." Flores then complained that "the truth is, man, that there's a lot of shit. And, so, how can I profit, right? I'm selling it at 55, but I'm making it into two, you know what I mean?" Guzman-Rocha replied, "yyeah, yeah, yeah, yeah. . . . And the other ones, how much are they going for? At 60, at 70, at 80, [heroin of 60, 70, or 80 percent purity] what are they going for?" Flores responded, "I tried to sell them at 55, like she gave them to me. That's the truth. . . . [a]nd they gave them back . . . . everything . . . . three different people returned it." Flores said he told his workers, "give me some of the other ones instead. The ones that your father had given me the other day [meaning mixing in the kilos from Guzman-Loera and Zambada-Garcia to even out the quality]."

Guzman-Rocha went on at great length to tell Flores that when heroin from the Zambadas is no good, Flores must tell the Zambadas immediately. Guzman-Rocha stated, "I'm going to call 'Thirty' [Zambada-Niebla] right now and I'm going to tell him, 'listen, man, those things are no good." Guzman-Rocha continued, "But tell him, man. Tell the lady [Zambada-Garcia], 'This is bad. This is at fifty percent, forty percent [purity]. Tell them, man." Flores responded, "Well, you know, I thought this time I'll do them the favor. . . . The thing is, the man said, 'please help my friend

and that' [Zambada-Garcia had personally asked the Flores brothers to receive the heroin from Felipe LNU]." Guzman-Rocha said, "No, I'm going to let her know right now. Because it's not even at forty percent. I'm going to let her know right now, man." Flores responded, "Explain my side to her like that, tell her I tried, right? I mean, 'I'll pay for them, buddy. The problem isn't paying for them."

Guzman-Rocha responded again that he was going to call "30 [Zambada-Niebla]." Flores then said, "Okay, we'll take care of it. That's not going to be a problem. And see if you can take care of, over here, with your father [Guzman-Loera], you know?" Guzman-Rocha replied, "What do you want from my father?" Flores responded, "I wanted to see if he can lower it five pesos [lower the price per kilo of heroin by \$5,000]. So he can call me to see, right? And I'll send the check tomorrow [make payment the following day if the price is lowered]." Guzman-Rocha responded, "But who is giving it to you? Who is calling to give it to you [who provided the contact information to facilitate a conversation between Guzman-Loera and Flores]?" Flores responded, "Alfredillo [Guzman-Loera's son, Guzman-Salazar]." Flores went on to say, "Okay, he asked for it again and I gave it to him again [Flores had provided Guzman-Salazar a number for Guzman-Loera to use to contact Flores]. For that, so we can negotiate the 20 [price for the 20 kilograms of heroin received]." Guzman-Rocha replied, "He's [Guzman-Loera's] not going to call you right now, not until tomorrow."

In a post-script to the call, made contemporaneously on the recording by Flores, Flores stated, "That was a call right now it's 1:49 a.m. November the 15th. Juan [Juan Guzman-Rocha] just called me about some work that Mayo [Zambada-Garcia] had given me that I turned into [DEA Special Agent]. And I just lied and complained about the quality and we were discussing that. And

discussing to see if I can get a phone call with him, with Chapo [Guzman-Loera], to see if we can negotiate the number on the twenty he just gave me [price on the 20 kilos of heroin]."

#### c. November 15, 2008 Recorded Conversation with Felipe LNU

On or about November 15, 2008, the Flores brothers recorded several conversations with Felipe LNU. In the beginning of the first call, Margarito Flores asked Felipe to "tell the young guy [Zambada-Niebla] I said hi and everyone over there." Margarito then informed Felipe that "I have there [in Chicago] a little more than half [half of the 13 kilo heroin shipment]." Margarito Flores continued, "The problem we've had is that I was trying to cover it up, but no one liked the work [customers disappointed in the quality of the heroin]." Felipe replied, "Really?" Margarito said, "He [the person in possession of the heroin in the United States] says it's not very strong. The color and all that. What I did was that I had to, not my father [Zambada-Garcia], my uncle over there [Guzman-Loera]....He gave me some, and those were good. So I've been mixing them a little, like half and half." Felipe responded, "Oh well, who knows. Because I've been seeing another person and it's been good." Margarito Flores said, "I don't know why." He said again that he mixed the shipments of heroin together, and "I'm going to move them out without a problem. This way I can send you the check that I have [send payment for the 13 kilos of heroin]." Felipe stated that "they're all the same. I'm telling you they're all the same. I'm telling you I'm seeing a person and he hasn't told me absolutely anything [quality of Guzman-Loera and Zambada heroin was the same]."

Margarito Flores then put Pedro Flores on the phone, who said, "I don't have a problem doing a favor with something that I can work with . . . .you know what I mean? . . . .Because uh, they're . . . when we're with the man [Guzman-Loera] that arrived. He sent me some. And those are, they all, everyone asks for that. . . .I thought that they were going to be the same ones. Almost

the same ones. But he [the person in possession of the heroin in the United States] says that only those are strong. He said the other ones aren't strong. It's all about the way it looks and all that is good." Felipe offered the explanation again that others have not complained, and said, "maybe your people are more demanding." Pedro Flores then said that the problem is "I think that they were at forty [40 percent pure heroin]."

Pedro Flores then said, "The problem is not the price. I'm more interested in better quality." Felipe asked, "What number is the quality? What number is it that you are talking about? . . . . You're saying that it has a forty. What is a good number?" Pedro Flores responded, "I would say at least an 80, a 60, between 60 and 80." Pedro Flores emphasized, "What I am saying, just send good ones. Don't waste time, just send the best that you can." Felipe responded, "Okay, I understand," Felipe continued, "What I am going to do is talk to your father [Guzman-Loera] to see the number he is working with. So that I can send it to you the same. . . . They're telling me that is the best. But let me check." Pedro Flores said, "If you have the best and you give the best, I'll continue . . . . I can even give you an advance." Felipe responded, "That's perfect. So when should I send for the check [payment for the heroin]." Pedro Flores said, "Whenever you want." Felipe responded, "Just give me a number so that I can get in touch over there [in Chicago] and send for it."

## d. November 15, 2008 Recorded Conversations with Joaquin Guzman-Loera

On or about November 15, 2008, Pedro Flores recorded two phone conversations with Guzman-Loera. The first conversation was as follows:

JGL: Hello.

PF: Hello.

JGL: My friend!

PF: What's up, how are you?

JGL: Good, good. Nice talking to you. How's your brother?

PF: Everyone is fine. I's too bad I wasn't able to see you the other day [Pedro was not present at the October 2008 mountaintop meeting attended by Margarito Flores].

JGL: Oh....

PF: It was my brother [Margarito Flores].

JGL: Oh, but I'm here at your service, you know that.

PF: Yes, everything is fine. Nice talking to you. Hey look, I'm bothering you because of what I picked up the other day from over there. I have the check ready, I'm not sure if... I want to ask you for a favor.

JGL: Ask me.

PF: Do you think that we can work something out where you can deduct five pesos [lower the price \$5,000 per kilogram of heroin]?

JGL: What did we agree on?

PF: You're giving them to me for 55 [\$55,000 per kilogram of heroin].

JGL: How much are you going to pay for it?

PF: Well, if you do me the favor I'll pay 50 for them, I have the check ready [if the price is lowered to \$50,000 per kilo, the Flores brothers can make payment immediately].

JGL: Do you have the money?

PF: If you give them to me with a difference of 5, I can pay you right away. And if you want to send me more, well like...

JGL: All right then...how much, how much did they give you?

PF: They gave me 20 [20 kilograms of heroin].

JGL: How much?

PF: 20.

JGL: All right then, I'll pick the money up tomorrow. That's fine.

PF: Yes?

JGL: That price is fine.

PF: Okay then, I really appreciate it. It's because the...the other man had given me something that didn't turn out good and I have to even it out [Zambada-Garcia also provided heroin, which was of poor quality, requiring the Flores brothers to mix the heroin together to improve the quality].

JGL: Hey! Do you have a way of bringing that money over here [make payment in Mexico]?

PF: Over here? Yes, of course.

JGL: Yeah. So you'll give it to me here then?

PF: Yeah, give me... if you'll give me a couple days and. . . . I have it here. Better yet, I have a check that is coming. If you want as soon as I get it I can advance you something. . . . when I get it. I had like 400 [\$400,000].

JGL: Look, look, hold on. I'm going to talk to someone right now. There might be someone that can pick that money up over there [pick up the money for the heroin in the United States].

PF: Yes.

JGL: I'll call you back. Hold on.

PF: Okay, okay.

JGL: I'll call you.

In a separate phone call a short while later between Pedro Flores and Guzman-Loera, Guzman-Loera handed the phone to an associate who provided Flores with a contact name and number of a person who would take receipt of the payment for the 20 kilograms of heroin. Guzman-

Loera then got back on the phone, leading to the following conversation between Guzman-Loera and Flores:

JGL: My friend.

PF: Excuse me, but I just wanted to ask you. I just have 3 left [3 kilos of heroin remaining from the 20-kilo shipment]. When do you think we can receive again?

JGL: What the fuck? I thought that you can only get rid of a little bit.

PF: The truth is these resulted fucking good. Why should I lie.

JGL: How much can you get rid of in a month?

PF: If, if you want. . . . if they are the same, around 40 [the Flores brothers can distribute 40 kilos of heroin per month].

JGL: Oh, that's good. Hey, has anyone else sent you? Because this guy told me that they were going to send you [Zambada-Garcia told Guzman-Loera that he had also sent heroin to the Flores brothers].

PF: Yes, but what they sent was not good. It doesn't compare to what you had [Zambada heroin was of inferior quality to Guzman-Loera heroin].

JGL: All right, I'll send it then [begin supplying the Flores brothers with 40 kilos of heroin per month]. So then uh. . .

PF: But do you think they have like another seven there that they can give me [seven more kilos of heroin available for immediate pickup in Chicago]?

JGL: Uh. . . . I'll send you from this week to the next.

PF: Okay, please. Thanks a lot.

JGL: That's fine.

PF: If anything. Okay, that's fine.

e. November 15, 2008 Recorded Conversation with Alfredo Guzman

After the Guzman-Loera calls, Pedro Flores recorded another conversation with Alfredo Guzman-Salazar. Flores stated that "the ones from yesterday came out really good [heroin was of good quality]." Guzman-Salazar asked, "Which ones are you saying?" Flores responded, "The twenty [20 kilos of heroin]." Pedro went on to say, "I was telling your old man [Guzman-Loera] right now that . . . . to see if there would be a chance to send another five [five additional kilos of heroin]. I don't know if you have them. Because I'm going to deposit the check for those tomorrow [pay for the 20 kilos of heroin]. . . . See if you have another five and let me know."

# f. November 22, 2008 Recorded Conversations with Felipe LNU and Law Enforcement \$715,000 Money Delivery

On or about November 22, 2008, Margarito Flores had a series of recorded conversations with Felipe to arrange payment for the 13 kilos of heroin. These conversations led to the law enforcement delivery of approximately \$715,000 (calculated as 13-kilos multiplied by \$55,000 per kilo) to the courier identified by Felipe. Law enforcement surveilled the delivery of the money, but allowed the money and the courier to walk in order to further the investigation. In a recorded conversation following the delivery of the money, Margarito Flores confirmed that his courier had delivered \$715,000 to Felipe's courier. Margarito Flores called Felipe back a short time later to discuss that fact that Felipe's courier believed he was followed by law enforcement. Margarito Flores said, "I'm just checking on your guy. You had me worried. Is everything okay?" Felipe responded, "yeah, they say they're fine at the moment. . . . He said he's already in his place and he said he saw things he didn't like at all. . . . Behind him were two vehicles that didn't seem normal. . . . Those two vehicles didn't leave the other one, until he had to lose them fucking right

away. . . . Is your guy fine?" Margarito responded, "Yeah, I sent him right now to run other

errands." Felipe concluded, "Well, let's hope that everything is well."

November 29, 2008 Recorded Call With Vicente Zambada-Niebla g.

On or about November 29, 2008, Margarito Flores consensually recorded a conversation

with Zambada-Niebla, in which the 13-kilo heroin transaction was discussed. As described in

further detail below, Flores and Zambada-Niebla further discussed a request made by Guzman-

Loera, Zambada-Garcia, and Zambada-Niebla for the Flores brothers to provide military-grade

weapons to the Sinaloa Cartel. Prior to this recorded conversation, Margarito Flores spoke to

Guzman-Rocha to seek his assistance in getting Zambada-Niebla on the phone. Shortly after this

request, Margarito Flores called Guzman-Rocha back, who then put Zambada-Niebla on the phone.

The conversation between Flores, Guzman-Rocha, and Zambada-Niebla related to the heroin was

as follows:

JGR:

Hey!

MF:

What's up? Is that guy [Zambada-Niebla] with you or not? Let me talk to

him.

JGR: Yeah.

VZN: Hey!

MF:

What's up? You don't want to talk to me anymore, or what?

VZN: Well yeah, but what's going on?

MF:

Nothing. I'm calling just to let you know about your friend.

VZN: Which friend?

MF:

The guy you introduced me to.

VZN: Fuck. . . . which one, dude?

48

MF: You know. The one that day that we were there [day of the October 2008 meeting at the mountaintop compound]. The one you asked me to do a favor for.

VZN: Hm. . . . which one, which one, dude?

MF: Do you remember Felipe?

VZN: Felipe. What's up with Felipe?

MF: He kind of got upset because I told him that it wasn't any good [13 kilos of heroin were of poor quality]. Just tell him that I'm on the look. I don't want to look bad to you or the lady [Zambada-Garcia].

VZN: When did you talk to him?

MF: The last time that I....I...

VZN: You haven't talk to him?

MF: ....That I gave him the check [sent payment to Felipe for the heroin]. I tried calling him but he has the devices [phone/radio] turned off.

VZN: He must be out.

MF: I just wanted to let you know.

VZN: But...but... but... did you pay him?

MF: Yes, but it wasn't like I. . . . right. . . . like I wanted. . . . but I told him. . . .

VZN: Uh-huh.

MF: ....that as soon as it was fixed and to call me as soon as he had something. But I was worried that you would get upset or something. You know what I mean?

VZN: No, not me.

MF: Okay.

VZN: No problem.

### 7. November 2008 Recorded Conversations with Manuel Fernandez-Navarro

Manuel Fernandez-Navarro, a/k/a "La Puerca," is an unindicted co-conspirator who acted as a narcotics broker who received and distributed multi-ton quantities of cocaine and heroin from multiple cartels, including the Sinaloa Cartel and the Beltran-Leyva Organization. Fernandez-Navarro was indicted in the Northern District of Illinois in a separate, but related case, *United States v. Arturo Beltran-Leyva*, et al, 09 CR 672. Fernandez-Navarro and the Flores brothers often invested in the same loads of cocaine with both the Sinaloa Cartel and the Beltran-Leyva Organization. In so doing, the Flores brothers and Fernandez-Navarro pooled their money and resources together to purchase larger volumes of cocaine. Similar to transactions in which the Flores brothers received their own shipments from the Sinaloa Cartel and Beltran-Leyva Organization, where the Flores brothers and Fernandez-Navarro worked together narcotics were received on credit and then the Flores brothers and Fernandez-Navarro worked together to repay the load. Fernandez-Navarro directly negotiated the receipt of narcotics with several members of the Sinaloa Cartel, including Joaquin Guzman-Loera.

In or about November 2008, Fernandez-Navarro and the Flores brothers agreed to purchase approximately 1.04 tons of cocaine from Arturo Beltran-Leyva and the Beltran-Leyva Organization.<sup>8</sup> On or about November 3, 2008, November 13, 2008, and November 17, 2008, Pedro Flores and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Pedro Flores and Margarito Flores provided information to U.S. law enforcement that allowed for this shipment of cocaine to be seized in the Los Angeles, California area. Specifically, on or about November 15, 2008, law enforcement seized approximately 600 kilograms of cocaine; on or about November 21, 2008, law enforcement seized approximately 290 kilograms of cocaine; and on or about November 30, 2008, law enforcement seized approximately 154 kilograms of cocaine, law enforcement also seized approximately \$407,547 in the same residence from which the approximately 154 kilograms of cocaine were recovered.

Margarito Flores consensually recorded a series of telephone conversations with Fernandez-Navarro and a member of the Beltran-Levva Organization to arrange for the cocaine transaction. During these recordings, the Flores brothers and Fernandez-Navarro also discussed transactions they had done with the Sinaloa Cartel, including the extent of their running debt and the price that they paid per kilo of cocaine. In a recorded conversation between Pedro Flores and Fernandez-Navarro, Pedro Flores informed Fernandez-Navarro of Margarito Flores' October 2008 mountaintop meeting with Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, German Olivares, and others. Pedro explained that it is difficult to deal with Zambada lieutenant German Olivares. Specifically, Flores stated that Olivares had placed an unrealistic ban on the Flores brothers using \$1, \$5, and \$10 bills to pay their debt to the Sinaloa Cartel. Pedro Flores explained that if the cartel wanted to receive payment quickly in the United States, the only option was for the Flores brothers to make payment with the money that they received from their own customers, which included small bills. Flores informed Fernandez-Navarro that Zambada-Niebla had instigated the situation by telling Olivares that the Flores brothers had been making fun of Olivares behind his back. Fernandez-Navarro then advised Pedro that he should bypass Olivares and take any problems straight to "the little boy or the father [Zambada-Niebla or Zambada-Garcia]." Flores responded that they cannot because the Flores brothers have been informed that Olivares speaks for Zambada-Garcia and therefore the Flores brothers cannot go over his head.

# 8. Testimony and Recordings Related to a Plot to Obtain Weapons to Attack a U.S. or Mexican Government or Media Building

On the last day of the October 2008 mountaintop meetings attended by Margarito Flores, Flores was informed that Guzman-Loera had arrived and wished to meet. A short while later, Flores participated in a meeting that also included Guzman-Loera, Ismael Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-

Niebla, and others. During that meeting, Guzman-Loera and Ismael Zambada-Garcia discussed the recent arrest of Zambada-Garcia's brother, Jesus Zambada-Garcia, a/k/a "Rey Zambada," by Mexican authorities. According to Margarito Flores, Ismael Zambada-Garcia stated, in words or in substance, "This government is letting the gringos [American law enforcement] do whatever they want. All we need is for them to try and extradite him."

Guzman-Loera responded, with words to the effect of "it's too early for that, it's going to take a long time. They are fucking us everywhere. What are we going to do?" Ismael Zambada-Garcia responded, "It will be good to send the gringos a message. Whatever we do, we have to do it in someone else's territory, in the smoke [Mexico City, which at the time was primarily under the control of the Beltran-Leyva Organization]." Guzman-Loera responded, in words or in substance, "Yeah, it would be good to do it in the smoke. At least we'll get something good out of it and Arturo [Beltran-Leyva] will get the heat. Let it be a government building, it doesn't matter whose. An embassy or a consulate, a media outlet or television station [attack a Mexican or U.S. government or media building in Mexico City]."

At that point in the conversation, Zambada-Niebla turned to Flores and said words to the effect of, "Twin, you know guys coming back from the war. Find somebody who can give you big powerful weapons, American shit. We don't want Middle Eastern or Asian guns, we want big U.S. guns, or RPGs [rocket propelled grenades]." Zambada-Niebla made mention of a particular model of weapon, to which Flores responded that he did not think the weapon named was American. Zambada-Niebla responded in words or in substance, "You know what I'm talking about. We don't

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Jesus Zambada-Garcia has been charged in a federal narcotics trafficking case pending in the Eastern District of New York.

need one, we need a lot of them, 20, 30, a lot of them." Flores responded, "I'll see what I can do." Guzman-Loera then said to Flores, "Make it your job;" and Zambada-Garcia said, "See what you can do."

As Flores was preparing to leave the mountaintop compound, Zambada-Garcia said in words or substance, "Make sure everything we talked about gets done, the rockets, Felipe, and stop sending those bills to Olivares [stop sending small bills as payment to Zambada-Garcia's lieutenant German Olivares]." Flores then had another conversation with Zambada-Niebla while waiting on an airstrip to depart. Zambada-Niebla stated, "We don't need that small shit, I want to blow up some buildings. We got a lot of grenades, we got a lot of .50 calibers, we're tired of AK's." Zambada-Niebla continued, "You're good with me. You want to be really good with me, get me my shit, my guns. Fuck the money, fuck the drugs, I want to blow shit up. I want some bazookas, some grenade launchers."

After this mountaintop meeting, Flores called a DEA agent and informed him of this plot to obtain military-grade weapons. Flores asked the agent to provide Flores with black market prices for rocket and grenade launchers so that Flores could appear knowledgeable about the topic if asked again by Guzman-Loera, Zambada-Garcia, Zambada-Niebla, or others. Flores had such a conversation during the same November 29, 2008, recorded phone conversation with Zambada-Niebla described above. After discussing the 13-kilogram heroin transaction, Flores and Zambada-Garcia discussed Zambada-Niebla's request for weapons from American soldiers returning home from war. During the recorded conversation, Zambada-Niebla spoke on behalf of his father, Zambada-Garcia, and told Flores that the Sinaloa Cartel would agree to purchase 20 to 30 weapons from a U.S. soldier who was leaving the service. The conversation was as follows:

MF: Hey, do you remember what we talked about? About those toys [rocket/grenade launchers].

VZN: Yes.

MF: It's fine. I have somebody that just got out of the service [U.S. military] and he said he could hook me up [provide weapons], but they're going to charge twice as much. Is that okay?

VZN: That's fine, just let me know.

MF: Okay, they're the kind that you told me about. He said, I can get twenty, thirty for sure [solider can provide 20 to 30 of the type of weapons requested by Zambada-Niebla].

VZN: All right.

MF: They're exactly the ones that you told me about he can make them for me. Because he's coming over here to live anyway [soldier moving to Mexico, so willing to sell weapons]. So whatever he gets me will be double [cost will be twice as much].

VZN: All right.

MF: Just tell this guy [Zambada-Garcia] as a favor to me, to accept delivery there [Sinaloa Cartel take receipt of the weapons in the U.S.].

VZN: Yeah, I'll tell him and he'll accept them [Zambada-Garcia will accept delivery of the weapons in the U.S.].

MF: Okay, it's all set then.

VZN: All right.

MF: Okay, we'll talk if anything. Tell everyone I said hi.

VZN: All right.

MF: All right.

In a post script to the conversation, Margarito Flores recorded, "That was a call with Vicente Zambada. It's Mayo Zambada's son."

## 9. Testimony, Recordings and Text Messages Related to November 2008 574-Kilogram Cocaine Transaction

In or about November 2008, the Flores brothers agreed to purchase from the Sinaloa Cartel a load of approximately 574 kilograms of cocaine. The Flores brothers' primary contact for this load was Juan Guzman-Rocha. The Flores brothers consensually recorded a series of calls with Guzman-Rocha to arrange for the transaction. Specifically, on behalf of the Sinaloa Cartel, Guzman-Rocha agreed to provide the cocaine to the Flores brothers in the Los Angeles area. Once the cocaine arrived in Los Angeles, Pedro Flores and Margarito Flores provided its location and other information to U.S. law enforcement. Based on the information provided by Pedro Flores and Margarito Flores, law enforcement seized 77 kilograms of cocaine on November 14, 2008; 86 kilograms of cocaine on November 15, 2008; 89 kilograms of cocaine on November 18, 2008; and 322 kilograms of cocaine on November 30, 2008. The recordings between the Flores brothers and Guzman-Rocha accurately reflect the quantities of these individual seizures.

In addition to the consensually recorded conversations, the Flores brothers also maintained a series of text messages exchanged with Guzman-Rocha pertinent to the November 2008 cocaine deliveries. Consistent with their agreement with the leadership of the Sinaloa Cartel, the Flores Brothers received this load of cocaine on credit. Following the November 2008 cocaine deliveries, the Flores brothers were ordered by Guzman-Rocha to pay \$5,850,000 to be applied to the running debt they owed to the Sinaloa Cartel. On or about November 29, 2008, Pedro Flores and Guzman-Rocha exchanged text messages discussing the debt owed. Although no money was actually sent, Pedro Flores wrote in a text message that \$5,850,000 was in transit to Guzman-Rocha and the Sinaloa Cartel in 212 individual packages containing various denominations of U.S. currency.

Guzman-Rocha responded with an order that the Flores brothers should stop sending \$1 and \$5 bills to the Sinaloa Cartel, as such small-denomination currency was difficult to exchange in Mexico.

### 10. Testimony, Recordings and Seizures Related to October and November Heroin Transactions with Arevalo-Renteria

In October and November 2008, the Flores brothers agreed to purchase two separate shipments of heroin from the Sinaloa Cartel. The Flores brothers primary contact for these two shipments was defendant Arevalo-Renteria. In each transaction, the Flores brothers provided information to U.S. law enforcement that allowed the shipments of heroin to be seized. Specifically, based on information from the Flores brothers, law enforcement seized approximately 15 kilograms of heroin in Chicago on October 7, 2008, and approximately 12 kilograms of heroin in Chicago on November 14, 2008.

Pedro Flores also consensually recorded a series of conversations with Arevalo-Renteria regarding these transactions. On or about October 21 and October 22, 2008, Flores recorded two conversations with Arevalo-Renteria regarding payment for the 15-kilos of heroin received. Flores informed Arevalo-Renteria that the Flores brothers had sent more money than they actually owed and asked Arevalo-Renteria to save the extra money for them. On or about November 14, 2008, prior to the second transaction, Flores recorded a conversation during which Arevalo-Renteria passed the phone number and nickname of the courier who would deliver the 12 kilograms of heroin on November 14. Flores provided this name and number to law enforcement. A DEA task force officer acting in an undercover capacity then recorded several conversations with the courier using the number provided by Arevalo-Renteria. These conversations resulted in the courier delivering approximately 12 kilograms of heroin to the undercover officer on or about November 14, 2008.

#### V. STATEMENTS IN FURTHERANCE OF THE CONSPIRACY

As outlined above, the government has strong evidence that defendants Zambada-Niebla and Arevalo-Renteria participated in a conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute, to distribute, and to import controlled substances. The above proffer of evidence also identifies the declarants (by name or by role) who participated in the conspiracy with the defendants.

The government seeks admission of conversations referenced above, in part, under Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)(E), as statements of coconspirators made during and in furtherance of a conspiracy. The statements made by other individuals who are not coconspirators are admissible to provide context for the statements made by the conspirators. Moreover, the law is clear that a tape-recorded excerpt may be played in its entirety, including the statements of non-conspirators, because their statements are not offered for their truth but merely to place the coconspirator statements in context and make them intelligible for the jury. *United States v. Zizzo*, 120 F.3d 1338, 1348 (7th Cir. 1997); *United States v. Davis*, 890 F.2d 1373, 1380 (7th Cir. 1989).

The statements that the government proposes to offer as coconspirator statements are too voluminous to be listed in their entirety in this proffer, but the nature of the statements are described by category below. These illustrative categories, however, are not intended to be all encompassing, but rather they explain the premise for the admission of representative statements that fall into such categories. All of the statements that the government will offer were made during and in furtherance of the defendants' conspiracies.

# A. <u>Statements Made To Execute The Conspiracies and Statements Regarding the Conspiracy's Activities</u>

The first category consists of oral statements made for the purpose of executing the charged conspiracies, and informing others about the joint criminal activity. In general, these statements will include information from cooperating witnesses dating back to the 1990's, as well as recorded and

unrecorded conversations, which reveal the day-to-day operations of the Sinaloa Cartel and its joint criminal activity. Conversations regarding the planning and execution of the charged drug trafficking among the conspirators are clearly designed to further that joint criminal activity. For example, the defendants and their coconspirators made statements to effectuate and maintain the operation of the Sinaloa Cartel, including its organization, structure, roles and objectives.

# B. <u>Statements Designed to Inform or Reassure the Listener and Statements Relating to the Progress and Accomplishments of the Conspiracy</u>

As noted above, the Seventh Circuit has routinely held that statements designed to inform and reassure the listener about the participants in the conspiracy and the progress of the conspiracy, are statements made in furtherance of the conspiracy and thus admissible under Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)(E). Given the nature of this joint criminal activity charged in this case in terms of time and number of participants, the need to inform fellow conspirators of past, present, and future accomplishments of the conspirators plays a crucial role in the operation of the ongoing criminal activity. Among other things, the government will introduce the conversations discussing the conspirators' past, present, and future relationships with one another, which demonstrate the nature of the relationship among the conspirators. These conversations will include matters relating to the charged drug trafficking – from conversations about the supply of narcotics from South and Central America to Mexico; to conversations about the storage, safekeeping, and transport of narcotics in Mexico; to conversations about the import of narcotics to the United States and the export of United States Currency from the United States.

### C. <u>Statements to Recruit and Encourage Coconspirators</u>

The defendants and their coconspirators recruited and encouraged each other and others to participate in their criminal endeavors. Such statements were designed to enlist and retain people

Case: 1:09-cr-00383 Document #: 137 Filed: 11/10/11 Page 61 of 63 PageID #:867

to participate in the conspirators' criminal endeavors, and thus they were in furtherance of the

defendants' conspiracies. Thus, for example, conversations will include matters relating to the

negotiation of the purchase and sale of narcotics with others in South America, Central America,

and the United States.

D. **Statements to Conceal the Criminal Objectives of the Conspiracies** 

During the charged conspiracies, the defendants and their coconspirators also discussed

various methods and actions designed to conceal and protect their criminal activities from others,

including informants, rival cartels, and law enforcement. Such statements were in furtherance of

the joint criminal conduct because they are designed to afford the conspirators an opportunity to

continue in the activities and escape the negative consequences naturally flowing from it.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing summary of the anticipated evidence to be offered

by the government at trial, the government respectfully requests that the Court issue a preliminary

ruling that co-conspirator statements may be introduced at the trial of this matter.

Dated: November 10, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

PATRICK J. FITZGERALD

United States Attorney

By:

s/Thomas D. Shakeshaft

THOMAS D. SHAKESHAFT

ANDREW C. PORTER MICHAEL FERRARA

MARC KRICKBAUM

**Assistant United States Attorneys** 

219 S. Dearborn Street

59

Chicago, IL 60604 312-353-5300

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned Assistant United States Attorney hereby certifies that the following document:

## GOVERNMENT'S EVIDENTIARY PROFFER SUPPORTING THE ADMISSIBILITY OF CO-CONSPIRATOR STATEMENTS

was served November 10, 2011, in accordance with Fed. R. Crim. P. 49, Fed. R. Civ. P. 5, LR5.5, and the General Order on Electronic Case filing pursuant to the District Court's Electronic Case Filing (ECF) system as to ECF filers.

Respectfully submitted,

PATRICK J. FITZGERALD United States Attorney

By: s/Thomas D. Shakeshaft
THOMAS D. SHAKESHAFT
ANDREW C. PORTER
MICHAEL FERRARA
MARC KRICKBAUM
Assistant United States Attorneys
219 S. Dearborn Street
Chicago, IL 60604

312-353-5300